## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re: Dale C. Flanders *et al*. Confirmation No: 4350

Application No: 09/645,827 Group: 1725

Filed: August 25, 2000 Examiner: Johnson,

Jonathan J.

For: Optical System Production

System

Customer No.: 2523

Attorney Docket 1000.0006

No.

## REQUEST TO WITHDRAW SUPPLEMENTAL EXAMINER'S ANSWER

FACSIMILE: **571-273-8300**Mail Stop Appeal Brief Patents **Commissioner for Patents**P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

It is believed that the pending Supplemental Examiner's Answer of November 16, 2006 does not comply with the rules.

Page 4 of the pending Supplemental Examiner's Answer contains a new rejection of the claims. Specifically, claims 17-20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite.

This new rejection renders the statement of "Issues" of the Supplemental Examiner's Answer and Applicants' Brief retroactivity incorrect.

The making of this new rejection would seem in conflict with Rule 41.43(a)(2), which apparently governs the pending Supplemental Examiner's Answer.

January 16, 2007 Application No.: 09/645,827 Attorney Docket No.: 1000.0006

> For these reasons, Applicants-Appellants believe that Supplemental Examiner's Answer should be withdrawn.

Should any questions arise, please contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

By /grant houston/ J. Grant Houston

Registration No.: 35,900 Tel.: 781 863 9991 Fax: 781 863 9931

Lexington, Massachusetts 02421

Date: January 16, 2007